UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                   | FILING DATE          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/590,780                        | 10/10/2006           | Janez Kerc           | 029489-00023        | 1166             |
| 4372<br>ARENT FOX L               | 7590 04/03/200<br>LP | 9                    | EXAMINER            |                  |
|                                   | TICUT AVENUE, N.     | W.                   | ARNOLD, ERNST V     |                  |
| SUITE 400<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20036 |                      |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                                   |                      |                      | 1616                |                  |
|                                   |                      |                      |                     |                  |
|                                   |                      |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                                   |                      |                      | 04/03/2009          | ELECTRONIC       |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com IPMatters@arentfox.com Patent\_Mail@arentfox.com

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)              |        |  |  |  |
|--|--|---------------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commence   | 10/590,780   | KERC ET AL.               |        |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit                  |        |  |  |  |
|  | ERNST V. ARNOLD  | 1616                      |        |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence ad          | dress  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   |  |                           |        |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |                           |        |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   | _•   |                           |        |  |  |  |
|  |  |                           |        |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan  | · <u> </u>   |                           |        |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.                      |                           |        |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |                           |        |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.   |  |                           |        |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |                           |        |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |                           |        |  |  |  |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |  |                           |        |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |                           |        |  |  |  |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or e   | election requirement.  |                           |        |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |                           |        |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner   | <b>′</b> .   |                           |        |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |                           |        |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |                           |        |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |                           |        |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | aminer. Note the attached Office   | Action or form PT         | O-152. |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |                           |        |  |  |  |
| a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical application for a list of the priorical application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical application from the Internation for a list of the priorical application for a list of t | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National | Stage  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  | Δ\ □ terter i = 0  | (DTO 440)                 |        |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da   |                           |        |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   | 5) Notice of Informal P  | atent Application         |        |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 6)   |                           |        |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 1616

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-12 and 15, drawn to a process for the manufacture of a pharmaceutical composition and a method of using the pharmaceutical composition for the manufacture of a medicament for the treatment of hypercholesterolemia.

Group II, claim(s) 13 and 14, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition and a composition comprising pravastatin.

Group III, claim(s) 16, drawn to a method of preventing or treating hypercholesterolemia in a patient.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The special technical feature appears to be a polymorph of pravastatin. However, such polymorphs are taught in US 6740775. In claim 1 of US 6740775, it is disclosed:

1. A crystalline sodium sait of pravastatin having an X-ray diffraction pattern substantially in accordance with that shown in FIG. 2.

This is the same as instant claim 9 which directs one to the same Figure 2 in US 6740775.

Instant claim 9 recites:

 A process according to claim 8 wherein the crystalline pravastatin sodium exhibits an X-ray diffraction pattern substantially similar to that in Figure 2 of US 6,740,775.

Thus, the special technical feature is already known in the art and therefore the instant Application does not make a definitive contribution over the prior art. Unity of Invention does not exist and restriction is deemed proper.

A telephone call was made to Stephan Auten on March 28, 2009 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

<u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ERNST V. ARNOLD whose telephone number is (571)272-8509. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:15-3:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,780 Page 5

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ernst V Arnold/ Examiner, Art Unit 1616